

# Semana da Escola de Engenharia October 24 - 27, 2011

# CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK FOR THE REPRESENTATION OF SPATIO-TEMPORAL DATA – CONCEPTS DEFINITION

João Peixoto<sup>1</sup> and Adriano Moreira<sup>2</sup> Mobile and Ubiquitous Systems Group University of Minho, Guimarães, Portugal E-mail: <sup>1</sup> peixoto@kanguru.pt, <sup>2</sup>adriano@dsi.uminho.pt

#### **KEYWORDS**

Urban Mobility, Spatio-temporal data representation, conceptual framework.

## ABSTRACT

Several visualization applications of urban mobility have been presented recently, either by the analysis of space occupation, or through the representation of trajectories. However these visualizations are highly dependent on the data type and often only applicable to the selected data type. This paper presents our initial work in the definition of basics concepts to create a framework for the representation of spatio-temporal data, sufficiently flexible for various types of mobility data and different forms of visualization of the urban mobility.

### INTRODUCTION

The mobility of citizens in an urban area is the source of various problems: traffic congestion, environmental impacts, inadequacy of public transport, among others. For this reason it is important to understand the mobility behaviour of individuals in space, understand space itself, and understand the use people make of the urban space as a way to reduce and possibly eliminate these difficulties. However, the dynamics associated with the mobility in urban areas always has two components: Time and Space, rising new challenges on how to capture, represent and visualize these dynamics.

#### **RELATED WORK**

Several works have been presented in the visualization of urban mobility area, using different techniques. One of these techniques is analyze urban mobility using the temporal variation of the occupation that individuals make in the urban space (Ispots 2005; Reades et al. 2007; Sevtsuk 2005). This type of representation is based on the creation of temporal snapshots of space occupation. In this area one of the ways to represent trajectories is with vectors, where each vector represents an element in space and time, speed and direction of its movement (Moreira et al. 2010). In our research work we intend to study these and other issues relating to the visualization of mobility through the representation of trajectories so as to explore new paradigms of representation of mobility. To achieve this goal we believe that it is important to, first, properly structure the information in order to have a conceptual framework for the representation of mobility in an urban area and verify what type of data match with this structure, if they already exist or must be acquired.

#### CONCEPTS FOR MOVEMENT REPRESENTATION

The work that we have done so far defines eight general concepts that characterize our conceptual framework for the representation of mobility of an individual artefact (Figure 1). These concepts are designed to fit the data since its acquisition stage, i.e., data obtained from different sensors without any processing, until we get homogeneous representations of the major movement processes, be they of a single individual or of a group of individuals.

It all starts with the data collected by a multitude of sensors about the movement of an artefact. As these raw dataset include different attributes, some form of normalization or uniformization is required. The *Observation* concept aims to realize the first step into this uniformization process, defining a basic set of information necessary for characterize the observation of an artefact from the mobility point of view. Regardless the sensor used to acquire the mobility data, these data reflect the observation of a phenomenon and our first concept is then the *Observation*, and can be described abstractly as:

The observation of an artefact in a specific point of a spatio-temporal space



# Semana da Escola de Engenharia October 24 - 27, 2011



Figure 1: Layered Structure of the Information Concepts

The remaining concepts can be described abstractly as follows and they all have their origins in the information contained in the basic concept of our framework, *Observation*.

#### One region/area of space defined by the aggregation of Locations (1)

Time interval between the first and last observation of an artefact in the same place (2) A Change of Place of an artefact occurred over time (3)

A Change of Place of an artefact occurred over a long time period (4)

Long time period between two sequential observations of an artefact in the same place (5) Time-ordered list of Elementary Movements of an artefact over the space (6)

(1) *Place*, (2) *Stay*, (3) *Elementary Movement*, (4) *Space Leap*, (5) *Time Leap*, (6) *Trajectory* 

### DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

At the moment our work is focused on the definition of the transformation processes of information between the different concepts and the instantiation of these concepts to different types of data. These two tasks aim to produce results and subsequent validation of our conceptual framework, as well permitting further validation and improving the characterization of the concepts in a way that makes them the most adequate for different types of data. One of the difficulties already identified with the instantiation we have been conducting is related to the space occupied at the level of storage in the database system of the observations data. Because we are working with individual data without any kind of aggregation, leads to a large number of records (for example, a raw data from only one city with an medium dimension, produces in media 20 thousand/monthly records of observations). So we have to evaluate the results of the visualizations and understand if it makes sense to work with individual data or taking into account these results, the information extracted from visualizations is not compromised when using the data in aggregate form.

#### REFERENCES

- iSPOTS. Senseable City Lab. 2005. http://senseable.mit.edu/ispots/
- Moreira, Adriano, Maribel Yasmina Santos, Monica Wachowicz, and Daniel Orellana. 2010. The Impact of Data Quality in the Context of Pedestrian Movement Analysis. In Geospatial Thinking, ed. Marco Painho, Maribel Yasmina Santos, and Hardy Pundt, 0:61-78. Lecture Notes in Geoinformation and Cartography. Springer Berlin Heidelberg.
- Reades, Jonathan, Francesco Calabrese, Andres Sevtsuk, and Carlo Ratti. 2007. Cellular Census: Explorations in Urban Data Collection. IEEE Pervasive Computing 6 (July): 30– 38.
- Sevtsuk, Andres. and Carlo Ratti. 2005. Ispots: How wireless technology is changing life on the MIT campus. CUPUM 05: The Ninth International Conference on Computers in Urban Planning and Urban Management. London.



**JOÃO PEIXOTO** was born in Gouveia, Portugal and went to the University of Coimbra, where he studied Informatics Engineering and obtained his degree in 2002. He works in Centro de Computação Gráfica since 2001 and started his PhD at the

University of Minho in 2008. His main research interests are: Ubiquitous and Urban Computing. His e-mail address is: peixoto@kanguru.pt.